

Environmental, Social & Governance (ESG) and fixed income investing—Part 1


In the first of a two part series, ESG specialist My-Linh Ngo explores the concept of incorporating ESG into fixed income investing

There is a growing consensus that environmental, social and governance (ESG) related investment strategies can help in delivering financial performance over the long term.

We believe ESG investing will increasingly feature in client mandates. As well as delivering financial performance, ESG related investment strategies (which are defined broadly as those which proactively and explicitly incorporate ESG factors within the investment process) may also facilitate a more socially responsible, as well as environmentally and economically sustainable society.

As such, we believe that what was once a niche market led by values based investors is becoming much bigger, driven by value investors (see Figure 1).

Figure 1: Data summarising the market size and growth of the ESG market



Source: GSIA, 2014 Global Sustainable Investment Review, February 2015; UN PRI as of May 2016

Note:

1 This figure is based on the aggregation of all SRI strategies reported in the European SRI Study 2014 without double counting, and is presented in order to be consistent with the methodology of this global report. Please note, however, that this figure is not used in the European study as there is no single European definition for sustainable investing.

An additional investment risk filter

BlueBay believes that ESG factors can potentially have a material impact on an issuer's long-term financial performance. Poorly managed ESG risks can lead to inefficiencies, operational disruption, litigation and reputational damage, which may ultimately impact an issuer's ability to meet their financial responsibilities.

When considering the rationale for incorporating ESG into investment analysis and the potential impact on performance, it is clear that the long-term financial success of a company is often influenced by the nature and quality of its relationship with its stakeholders. More direct, explicit and proactive analysis of different stakeholder relationships (which is part of the ESG analysis) is helpful in providing additional insight into the business' outlook, and in identifying potential areas of conflicting trends or emerging ESG risks and concerns.

Supplementing traditional financial analysis with ESG analysis is therefore prudent and in line with BlueBay's fiduciary duty to optimize investor returns.

Incorporating ESG in fixed income

Whilst ESG investing has traditionally been discussed in the context of equities, we believe it is equally important in fixed income investing.

Indeed, many academic studies have shown that incorporating ESG is most beneficial in terms of managing downside risks. In fixed income, it is all about mitigating downside risk as the upside is capped.

Whilst a default may be the worst scenario, there are also intervening risks to investors, including downgrades in the quality of the debt, which can impact investor returns.

The business case is further supported by the fact that the risks for fixed income investors of investing in the wrong bond can be greater than those associated with investing in the wrong equity asset. Equities are most commonly traded on an exchange, making them more liquid and, generally, more clearly defined.

In fixed income, the investment universe is larger, more complex, and there is more variation in quality and number of investible instruments. This reduces the level of liquidity in the market for some bonds and potentially increases associated transactional costs and complexity.

ESG risks may impact bonds differently to equities

For equities, share prices are often driven by news flow and sentiment about growth prospects (e.g. in earnings, profits), rather than just fundamentals. As such there is more likely to be direct and immediate sensitivity to ESG factors.

For fixed income, the emphasis is focused on fundamentals (e.g. cash flows) with the bond prices influenced by changes to expectations such as financial strength of the issuer/risk of credit losses, i.e. credit worthiness. This means there is potentially less direct and immediate sensitivity to ESG risks as the creditworthiness of the issuer can act as a buffer to the ESG risk. So whilst an ESG risk may be considered significant in terms of a business risk, it may not necessarily be an impactful financial risk that results in a change in credit rating or impact bond prices or spreads materially.


It is also noteworthy, that due to the asset class' complexity, different debt instrument types and specific bond maturities, the relevance of ESG risks may vary. This means that for the same issuer, the ESG risk of holding its bond may vary depending on where it fits in the capital structure and quality spectrum, and its maturity term and the planned holding period. This makes ESG risks relating to fixed income particularly multi-dimensional.

Clearly, what has just been outlined above is a very simplistic explanation of how and why equity and bond prices may react in different ways to business risks (including ESG ones). In reality, price reactions will most likely be quite idiosyncratic, reflecting company specific circumstances.

Nonetheless, whilst the response may vary in their timings and quantum, it would be true to say, both equity and bond prices will react in some way (given they do interact with each other) – as illustrated by the price performance of a leading global oil & gas company, following a major oil spill in 2010 (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Equity and bond CDS price movements for a leading global oil & gas company post a major oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico in 2010

Comparison of Equity and Bond CDS price movements



Source: Bloomberg, as at 10 March 2016

Note:

1 A credit default swap is bought as insurance against non-payment. The more the holder of a security thinks its issuer is likely to default, the more desirable a CDS is and the more the premium is worth it.

ESG risks can, and do, impact credit worthiness

Credit rating agencies themselves have acknowledged that ESG can – and does – impact an issuer's credit ratings.

In terms of corporate credit, Standard & Poor's states environmental and climate risks are material to business risks in oil refining and marketing, regulated utilities and unregulated power and gas industries, where environmental regulations and weather events tend to have a more direct impact on credit quality than in other sectors¹.

Moody's efforts in developing a sector environmental heat map to illustrate how they see ESG risk impacting different sectors, yields similar conclusions². They have also conducted analysis and also shown that the risk of carbon reduction policies on non-financial corporates can have three primary credit effects; direct costs, disruptive technological shocks and policy uncertainty/regulatory risk.

In conclusion Standard & Poor's (October 2015) believes that environmental and climate risks will likely grow and "could lead to a more widespread weakening of corporate credit profiles and subsequently more downgrades than in the past."³

ESG risks are not limited to corporate credit. Standard & Poor's have also noted climate change as a global mega trend will impact sovereigns. In most in most cases, this will be negatively in terms of economic growth, external performance and public finances, with poorer and lower rated sovereigns being hit hardest.⁴

In summary, whilst ESG has not been a considerable factor in fixed income investing to date, it is clear it is an increasingly important and dynamic influence that fixed income investors should understand.

In part 2 of this series, My-Linh will review the appropriateness of current ESG investment strategies for fixed income investing, and outline her thoughts on the way forward.

Notes:

- 1 As detailed in: Standard & Poor's, October 2015, *How environmental and climate risks factor into global corporate ratings.*
- 2 Moody's Investors Service, November 2015, *Heat map shows wide variations in credit impact across sectors.*
- 3 Standard & Poor's Rating Services, October 2015, *How environmental and climate risks factor into global corporate ratings.*
- 4 Standard & Poor's Rating Services, May 2014, *Climate change is a global mega-trend for sovereign risk.*

This document is issued in the United Kingdom (UK) by BlueBay Asset Management LLP (BlueBay), which is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and is registered as an investment adviser with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and as a commodity pool operator and commodity trading advisor with the National Futures Association (NFA) as authorised by the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC). To the extent this document is accessible outside of the UK, it is issued by the following respective BlueBay entities or affiliates. In the United States, by BlueBay Asset Management USA LLC, which is registered as an investment adviser with the SEC and as an introducing broker with the NFA. In Japan, by BlueBay Asset Management International Limited which is registered with the Kanto Local Finance Bureau of Ministry of Finance, Japan. In Hong Kong, by BlueBay Hong Kong Limited which is registered by the Securities and Futures Commission. In Australia, BlueBay is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act in respect of financial services as it is regulated by the FCA under the laws of the UK which differ from Australian laws. In Canada, BlueBay is not registered under securities laws and is relying on the international dealer exemption under applicable provincial securities legislation, which permit BlueBay to carry out certain specified dealer activities for those Canadian residents that qualify as "a Canadian permitted client", as such term is defined under applicable securities legislation. The document is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended, nor should it be interpreted as investment, tax or legal advice. This document does not constitute an offer to sell nor is it a solicitation of an offer to purchase any security or investment product in any jurisdiction. This document is not available for distribution in any jurisdiction where such distribution would be prohibited and is not aimed at such persons in those jurisdictions. Past performance is not indicative of future results. BlueBay makes no express or implied warranties or representations with respect to the information contained in this document and hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of accuracy, completeness or fitness for a particular purpose. BlueBay is under no obligation to update the information in this document to reflect changes after the publication date. The information contained in this document is believed to be reliable, but BlueBay cannot and does not guarantee its accuracy, timeliness or completeness. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner without the prior written permission of BlueBay Asset Management LLP. In the United States, this document may be provided by RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc. ("RBC GAM-US"), a federally registered investment adviser founded in 1983. RBC Global Asset Management (RBC GAM) is the asset management division of Royal Bank of Canada (RBC) which includes BlueBay Asset Management LLP, RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc., RBC Alternative Asset Management Inc., and RBC Global Asset Management Inc., which are separate, but affiliated corporate entities. Copyright 2016 © BlueBay, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Bank of Canada and BlueBay may be considered to be related and/or connected to Royal Bank of Canada and its other affiliates. ® Registered trademark of Royal Bank of Canada. RBC Global Asset Management is a trademark of Royal Bank of Canada. BlueBay Asset Management LLP, registered office 77 Grosvenor Street, London W1K 3JR, partnership registered in England and Wales number OC370085. All rights reserved.

Published June 2016.